Files\\training set\_ellen - § 52 references coded [ 2.59% Coverage]

Reference 1 - 0.05% Coverage

the most extreme example being Arthur Russell, who is almost unclassifiable

Reference 2 - 0.06% Coverage

Since you opened the variables a lot, it was difficult for me to come to a conclusion

Reference 3 - 0.05% Coverage

It must be really hard to be an original popular musician these days.

Reference 4 - 0.06% Coverage

People don’t listen to full albuns nowadays, and artists tend not to work on them. So sad...

Reference 5 - 0.03% Coverage

So sad to see no rock artists in the top twenty

Reference 6 - 0.07% Coverage

I’m still working on it, but unfortunately cannot find a good data source to make such comparisons.

Reference 7 - 0.04% Coverage

Still a very relevant insight to explore, unfortunately.

Reference 8 - 0.08% Coverage

There was data about rank, but I couldn’t find a way in iNZight to combine this variable with the other variables.

Reference 9 - 0.06% Coverage

Yes I understand. I also had the same problem in iNZigh, so I just gave up and went to Illustrator.

Reference 10 - 0.10% Coverage

I think that it could be interesting make a comparision between the age of the hospitalized people and its diseases, but I haven’t find the data.

Reference 11 - 0.06% Coverage

Using the same color scheme for race/ethnicity AND geographic location was a poor choice.

Reference 12 - 0.08% Coverage

To compare these kinds of data is often misleading, standardization might be tricky and maybe even impossible.

Reference 13 - 0.03% Coverage

this is very expensive and demands a lot of work

Reference 14 - 0.02% Coverage

However this is far from reality

Reference 15 - 0.07% Coverage

data is often filled with missing values, repeated values, messy tables, incoherence, and more.

Reference 16 - 0.02% Coverage

Let say that was not a possibility.

Reference 17 - 0.06% Coverage

I did make a graph, but for some reason when I tried to attach it to the post it did not work.

Reference 18 - 0.04% Coverage

I am sometimes so bored already by all that population data or so.

Reference 19 - 0.05% Coverage

the data provided by the election management committee is disjointed

Reference 20 - 0.05% Coverage

I think the chart you chose makes it harder to understand the finding here.

Reference 21 - 0.02% Coverage

I don’t think the facets help you

Reference 22 - 0.02% Coverage

that might not have been helpful.

Reference 23 - 0.04% Coverage

but the opportunity to customize the templates is very limited.

Reference 24 - 0.03% Coverage

I was not able topush the play button successfully.

Reference 25 - 0.04% Coverage

I’m sorry the interactive version did not work for you

Reference 26 - 0.10% Coverage

but the way this particular Flourish-template is set up the circle-colour is linked to the colour of the graph, which was then very hard to read.

Reference 27 - 0.03% Coverage

What may have caused the flare up I have no idea...

Reference 28 - 0.01% Coverage

I don’t know.

Reference 29 - 0.07% Coverage

I’m not happy with the counter on the top either, It doesn’t properly bin (bundle) the cumulative values

Reference 30 - 0.05% Coverage

the slider is too imprecise to actually properl ""land"" on events.

Reference 31 - 0.05% Coverage

there are too few possibilities to configure/customise the templates.

Reference 32 - 0.08% Coverage

I’m afraid I can’t move the counter, it comes with the template and you can either have it displayed or not, but nothing more.

Reference 33 - 0.02% Coverage

the templates are a bit limited

Reference 34 - 0.07% Coverage

But, the only issue I found is that scatter plot visualization looks messy and concise on a phone’s screen.

Reference 35 - 0.02% Coverage

there is nothing to see.

Reference 36 - 0.03% Coverage

but I think you put too much emphasis on this part

Reference 37 - 0.05% Coverage

Animations do not convince me: they are too difficult to interpret.

Reference 38 - 0.03% Coverage

I just didn’t have time to work with it any longer

Reference 39 - 0.04% Coverage

I am sorry that the PowerPoint link isn’t working for you.

Reference 40 - 0.07% Coverage

I don’t know what else to do with it as I don’t think I can embed the file directly into the course emails system.

Reference 41 - 0.05% Coverage

After I had spent a couple of hours with it, I went back to my regular software

Reference 42 - 0.02% Coverage

Flourish has some limitations.

Reference 43 - 0.04% Coverage

I have tried to modify the legend but I have not succeeded.

Reference 44 - 0.06% Coverage

Unfortunately the article in The Washington post was behind a paywall so I couldn’t access it

Reference 45 - 0.03% Coverage

I am sorry that the article was behind a paywall.

Reference 46 - 0.08% Coverage

There was just so much, so I started running a different column instead to simplify it since there was so much data to look at.

Reference 47 - 0.09% Coverage

I felt redirecting the audience to a different page so the graphic could load better was a sacrifice I had to make in this instance.

Reference 48 - 0.04% Coverage

And I think there are no dramatic change when I look at it.

Reference 49 - 0.05% Coverage

I can not see any more regions of Israel, and  
bubbles alone not say too much for me.

Reference 50 - 0.07% Coverage

Unfortunately, Flourish does not yet allow graphics like maps to be displayed underneath scatter plots;

Reference 51 - 0.04% Coverage

However, I don’t see treemaps as the ideal for your story.

Reference 52 - 0.04% Coverage

Also, I missed charts with death per decades and not only by track.